



ESS weekly meeting (2022 W09)

A. Miyazaki et al



General planning







W08 & W09 progress / W10 planning



wee	k						V	V08					
		MON		TUE		WED		THU		FRI		SAT	SUN
date	2	21-feb		22-feb		23-feb		24-feb		25-feb		26-feb	27-feb
		m	а	m	а	m	а	m	а	m	а		
		LHe cooling		4K filling	2K pumping	2K pumping retry	MP conditioning	CTS & MP cond of CAV IN		move CTS &	dynamic heat load of CAV OUT	dynamics heat load continued	f vs p and warming up
present CM	CM08			coupler conditioning	RF calibration, f vs p	RF interlock setup	only CAV OUT			static heat load			
next CM	CM09			doorknob mounting	leak checked								
next next CM	CM10	preparation at Orsay											

wee	k							W/09					
date		MON TUE			UE	WED		THU		FRI		SAT	SUN
		28-feb		01-mar		02-mar		03-mar		04-mar		05-mar	06-mar
		m	а	m	а	m	а	m a		m	а		
previous CM	СМ08	warming up	break insulation vacuum	warming up completed open the bunker	disconnect vacuum pumps	disconnect cryogenics	swap modules	doorknob dismounting		dry N2 filling	out going test	waiting in the box	
present CM	СМ09							cryogenic	connection	vacuum co	nnection	pumpir	g vacuum
next CM	CM10						prepara	ion at Orsay		We	are	here	

wee	k	W10											
date		M	ON	TUE		WED		THU		FRI		SAT	SUN
		07-mar		08-mar		09-mar		10-mar		11-mar		12-mar	13-mar
		m	а	ma ma		m	а	m	а				
previous CM	CM08	spare day for out going test departure to ESS		preparing report		publish report							
present CM	CM09		coupler warm conditioning with DB station										
next CM	CM10			transp	ort from Orsay			arriva	al at UU	receptic	on test		



f vs p during measured again



<u>CAV IN</u>



- The pressure measurement was
 malfunctioning during pumping
- We performed another measurement during 2K → 4K
- We confirmed that CAVIN is softer than usual but still on spec →



CAV OUT







		CAV IN	CAV OUT	
\/NIA	Γ [kHz]	1.94	2.21	
VINA	Q _L	1.82e5	1.66e5	
doooy	τ_L [us]	164	160	
uecay	Q _L	1.82e5	1.77e5	

$$\tilde{P}(f) \propto \frac{1}{(f - f_0)^2 + (\Gamma/2)^2}$$

$$V(t) \propto e^{-t/\tau_L}$$

$$\tau_L = \frac{1}{\pi\Gamma}$$
Fourier transform
$$Q_L = \frac{f_0}{\Gamma}$$
5

Specification is >1.74e5







Backlash before the linear zone for both CTSs

- They worked well regardless of the backlash
- The new Beckhoff driver was used for the test



Time(s)

+ 🗩

Piezos and LFD with **doubt in E**_{acc}



7





CAVIN limited by amplifier

CAVOUT limited by amplifier



228 kW to reach 9MV/m estimated from Qt

277 kW to reach 9MV/m estimated from Qt

Cavity gradient: disagreement in 3 method



From transmitted power and Q_t from IJCLab

$$E_{acc}^{t} = \frac{\sqrt{R/Q}}{L_{acc}} \sqrt{Q_t P_t}$$

From forward power and Q₁

$$E_{acc}^{f} = \frac{\sqrt{4R/Q}}{L_{acc}} \sqrt{Q_L P_f}$$

From reflected power and Q_L

 E_{acc}

Worst disagreement (CM08; cavity out)

$$-\begin{cases} E_{acc}^{t} = 9.06 \text{ MV/m} \\ E_{acc}^{f} = 14.26 \text{ MV/m} \\ E_{acc}^{r} = 12.21 \text{ MV/m} \end{cases} \begin{array}{c} 60 \ \% \text{ uncertaint} \\ (150\% \text{ in power}) \\ 60 \ \% \text{ uncertaint} \\ (150\% \text{ in power}) \\ 60 \ \% \text{ uncertaint} \\ (150\% \text{ in power}) \\ 60 \ \% \text{ uncertaint} \\ (150\% \text{ in power}) \\ 60 \ \% \text{ uncertaint} \\ (150\% \text{ in power}) \\ 60 \ \% \text{ uncertaint} \\ (150\% \text{ in power}) \\ 60 \ \% \text{ uncertaint} \\ (150\% \text{ in power}) \\ (150\% \text{ in powe$$

- We calibrated cables, directional couplers, and power meters only to find maximum 20% error to the field
- We report the *conservative* value
 - All cavities showed min { E_{acc} } > 9 MVm (spec) _a

3







df = -148 / +122 Hz |df| = 270 Hz

df = -190 / + 220 Hz |f| = 410 Hz





|df| < 500 Hz at 9 MV/m is statistically more probable







- The field emission on-set was the same in FREIA and VT at IJCLab
- These 2 measurements share the same Qt from field decay calibration in VT
 - The antenna may not be loosen during transport
- The field suggested by QL calibrated at FREIA shows higher field





The heat load is still not clear

- We report 17W +/- 1W for CM08
- Flow varies a lot with CV04 under regulation
 - \rightarrow The value changes a lot by changing the averaging range
- RF heat load is zero consistent within the statistical error (1W)
- \rightarrow Pressure rise vs time was also measured for future comparison (CAD)





Hydrocarbon peaks were observed as usual





Electrosys died before cavity measurement



- We are trying to fix this power supply in Electrosys
 - One spare was ordered from Ireland
- If it will not be on time, we need to condition CM09 couplers one by one



Coupler warm conditioning



7 days are reserved but hopefully shorter

wee	k		W10										
date		M	ON	TUE		WED		THU		FRI		SAT	SUN
		07-mar		08-mar		09-mar		10-mar		11-mar		12-mar	13-mar
		m a		m	а	m	а	m	а	m	а		
previous CM	CM08	spare day for out going test departure to ESS			preparing report publish report								
present CM	СМ09		coupler warm conditioning with DB station										
next CM	СМ10	transport from Orsay							al at UU	receptic	on test		

wee	k	W11											
date		N	ION	TUE		WED		THU		FRI		SAT	SUN
		14-mar		15-mar		16-mar		17-mar		18-mar		19-mar	20-mar
		m	а	m	а	m	а	m	а	m	а		
	CI 400								AV 5		coupler cold		
present Civi	CM09	coupier wa	rm conditioning	g with DB statio	on continued	Lr	v2 cooling	LHE COOLING	4K TI	lling	conditioning		
next CM	CM10							•	•		•	•	
HEAT CIVI	CIVILO												