#### Performance Measurements for the Ge Tracking Array AGATA

Nataša Lalović

Lund University/GSI

Swedish Nuclear Physics Meeting 2014, Uppsala

#### Outline

- 1. Motivation
- 2. Measurements
- 3. Method
- 4. Analysis
- 5. Summary and Outlook

## Introduction and Motivation

Nataša Lalović

Motivation			
Motivat	ion		

- ► In 2012 and 2014 the PreSPEC-AGATA campaign was conducted at the final focal plane of the Fragment Separator at GSI
- Particle selection and identification in the FRS and a sophisticated γ-ray tracking spectrometer allowed for in-beam γ-ray spectroscopy of radioactive ion beams
- **But**: Reliable AGATA performance figures are missing!
- ► A dedicated measurement was done to characterize the setup: *photopeak efficiency*, *peak-to-total* (P/T), *quality* of custom AGATA algorithms

## Experimental Setup and Measurements

Nataša Lalović

Analysis

Summary and Outlook

#### PreSPEC – AGATA



F. Ameil, Complete PreSPEC-AGATA Setup, GSI Darmstadt, 2014



- ▶ At the beginning of and after the campaign, measurements with different sources were conducted
- ▶ <sup>60</sup>Co, <sup>152</sup>Eu, <sup>133</sup>Ba, <sup>166m</sup>Ho, <sup>56</sup>Co
- ▶ Idea: use an external detector as a trigger: *Euroball*
- $\blacktriangleright$  Why?

#### Experimental Setup

- ► Twenty-one 36-fold segmented AGATA crystals
- ▶ Nominal target-array distance: 23.5 cm
- Calibration sources placed at the target position
- Euroball implemented in the DAQ as if it were one of the AGATA detectors



Position of the reference Euroball detector

## Methodology

Nataša Lalović

#### 1. Coincidence Analysis $\rightarrow$ Absolute Efficiency

- ▶ <sup>60</sup>Co data set
- distinguish the reference detector from all AGATA crystals
- ► Gate on 1.33-MeV line in the spectrum of Euroball
- Total number of 'triggers' recorded by Euroball defines the number of γ rays emitted in 4π

$$\epsilon = \frac{1.17 I_{Agata}}{1.33 I_{Euroball}}$$

#### 1. Coincidence Analysis $\rightarrow$ Absolute Efficiency

- ▶ <sup>60</sup>Co data set
- distinguish the reference detector from all AGATA crystals
- ► Gate on 1.33-MeV line in the spectrum of Euroball
- Total number of 'triggers' recorded by Euroball defines the number of γ rays emitted in 4π

$$\epsilon = \frac{1.17 I_{Agata}}{1.33 I_{Euroball}}$$



#### 1. Absolute Efficiency

#### 1.a) calorimetric

 Summing up energies recorded by the central contacts of all crystals

#### 1.b) central contact

▶ Energy from the central contact of only a single crystal

#### 1.c) tracking

- Required: Pulse Shape Analysis providing the exact points of interaction and Tracking Algorithms finding the right sequence of interaction
- $\blacktriangleright$  Energy information from reconstructed  $\gamma\text{-ray}$  interactions

#### 2. Singles Analysis $\rightarrow$ Absolute Efficiency

- ▶ <sup>60</sup>Co data set
- ▶ AGATA-only spectra
- ► Sum Peak Method used to determine the absolute efficiency of the array
- ► Summing peak at 2.51 MeV

$$\epsilon = \frac{2.51}{1.33} I_{Agata}$$

► Correction for random coincidences

I. Kim, C. Park and H. Choi, Applied Radiation and Isotopes, 58 (2005), p.199, J. M. R. Hutchinson, W. B. Mann and P. A. Mullen, Nucl. Instrum. Methods 112, p. 187 3. Singles Analysis  $\rightarrow$  Relative Efficiency

- ▶ <sup>152</sup>Eu data set
- ▶ AGATA-only spectra
- Peak intensities normalized with respect to 1.408-MeV line

## Analysis and First Results

Nataša Lalović

Motivation Measurements Method Analysis Summary and Outlook
0. Fine tunings

- ► The final stage of *tracking* requires reliable energy and position information → one of the tasks of offline data-processing
- Corrections performed using the framework femul:
   energy calibration
  - crosstalk
  - time alignment
- ▶ Time window for coincidences in all cases: 100 ns

N. Lalović et al. for the AGATA collaboration, submitted to EPJ Web of Conferences Journal

#### 1. Coincidence Analysis $\rightarrow$ Absolute Efficiency



 $\gamma$ -ray spectra of AGATA array obtained by summing all the hit in each detector (central contact) and by summing all the recorded hits (calorimetric) ▶ 1.a) calorimetric: efficiency 3.3 %P/T 32 % ► 1.b) central contact: efficiency 2.3 %P/T 18.2 % ▶ 1.c) tracking: efficiency 2.5 %P/T 34 %

 Motivation
 Measurements
 Method
 Analysis
 Summary and Outlook

 2. Singles Analysis → Absolute Efficiency

 ▶ Sum-peak method:

- efficiency 3.3 %
- $\rm P/T$  30 %
- 3. Singles Analysis  $\rightarrow$  Relative Efficiency



#### Geant4 Simulation

#### 1. Coincidence Analysis $\rightarrow$ Absolute Efficiency calorimetric: efficiency 3.9 % P/T 50 %

J. Ljungvall for the AGATA Collaboration, CSNSM Orsay, France



Nataša Lalović

#### Geant4 Simulation

#### 1. Coincidence Analysis $\rightarrow$ Absolute Efficiency calorimetric: efficiency 3.9 % P/T 50 %

J. Ljungvall for the AGATA Collaboration, CSNSM Orsay, France

## Experimental: efficiency 3.3 % P/T 32 %

### **Summary and Outlook**

Nataša Lalović



- ▶ Preliminary values for AGATA absolute efficiency
- Quality-check of the two tracking algorithms available in the community

 $\rm OFT$  – A. Lopez-Martens et al., Nucl. Instr. Meth. A 533, 454 (2004), MGT – D. Bazzacco, private communication

▶ Geant4 simulation suggests somewhat higher values

		Summary and Outlook
Outlook		

- ▶ In-depth analysis currently ongoing: corrections regarding dead-time and potential multiple cascades in case of <sup>60</sup>Co
- $\blacktriangleright$  Extend the relative efficiency curve using the data from  ${\rm ^{56}Co}$

N. Lalović et al. for the AGATA collaboration to be published

► Final confrontation of our experimental results with the Geant4 simulation

#### Acknowledgements

<u>N. Lalović<sup>1,2,a</sup></u>, R. M. Perez-Vidal<sup>3</sup>, C. Louchart<sup>4</sup>, C. Michelagnoli<sup>5</sup>, D. Ralet<sup>4,2</sup>, T. Arici<sup>6,2</sup>, D. Bazzacco<sup>7</sup>, E. Clément<sup>5</sup>,
 A. Gadea<sup>3</sup>, J. Gerl<sup>2</sup>, I. Kojouharov<sup>2</sup>, A. Korichi<sup>8</sup>, M. Labiche<sup>9</sup>, J. Ljungvall<sup>8</sup>, A. Lopez-Martens<sup>8</sup>, J. Nyberg<sup>10</sup>,
 N. Pietralla<sup>4</sup>, S. Pietri<sup>2</sup>, D. Rudolph<sup>1</sup>, O. Stezowski<sup>11</sup>, and the AGATA collaboration

<sup>1</sup>Department of Physics, Lund University, S-22100 Lund, Sweden

<sup>2</sup>GSI Helmholtzzentrum für Schwerionenforschung GmbH, D-64291 Darmstadt, Germany

<sup>3</sup> Instituto de Fisica Corpuscular, CSIC-Universitat de Valencia, E-46920 Valencia, Spain

<sup>4</sup> Institut für Kernphysik, Technische Universität Darmstadt, D 64289 Darmstadt, Germany

<sup>5</sup>GANIL, CEA/DSM-CNRS/IN2P3, BP 55027, F-14076 Caen, France

<sup>6</sup>Justus-Liebig-Universität Giessen, D-35392 Giessen, Germany

7 INFN Sezione di Padova and Dipartimento di Fisica, Università di Padova, IT-35131 Padova, Italy

<sup>8</sup>CSNSM, F-91405 Orsay Campus, France

<sup>9</sup>STFC Daresbury Laboratory, Daresbury, WA4<sup>4</sup>4AD Warrington, UK

<sup>10</sup> Department of Physics and Astronomy, Uppsala University, S-75121 Uppsala, Sweden

<sup>11</sup> Université de Lyon, CNRS-IN2P3, Institut de Physique Nucléaire de Lyon, F-69622 Villeurbanne, France

# Thank you for your attention!

## Tack så mycket!

Nataša Lalović