Partial Wave Analysis of $J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma \omega \phi$ at BESIII



Cui Li

Uppsala University

Nov.12th, 2014





Introduction to BEPCII and BESIII

2 Partial Wave Analysis of $J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma \omega \phi$





Introduction to BEPCII and BESIII



Beijing Electron Positron Collider (BEPCII)



2004: start BEPCII construction 2008: test run of BEPCII 2009-now: BEPCII/BESIII data taking **BES**II

BEPCII storage rings





The **BE**ijing **S**pectrometer (BESIII) detector







Data sample





This analysis is based on 225M J/ψ event sample collected at 2009.

- Study of Light hadron spectroscopy
 - search for non qq or non qqq states
 - meson spectroscopy
 - baryon spectroscopy
- Study of the production and decay mechanisms of charmonium states: J/ψ, ψ(2S), ψ(3770) etc.
- New Charmonium states above open charm threshold.
 - Precise measurement of R values, mass, ...
 - Precise measurement of CKM matrix
 - Search for DDbar mixing, CP violation, etc.





BESIII Collaboration





11 countries, 53 institutions, \sim 350 people

US(5)

Univ. of Hawaii Carnegie Mellon Univ. Univ. of Minnesota Univ. of Rochester Univ. of Indiana

Pakistan (2)

Univ. of Punjab COMSAT CIIT Korea (1) Seoul Nat. Univ. Japan (1) Tokyo Univ. Europe(13)Germany: Univ of Bochum Univ of Giessen GSI Univ. of Johannes Gutenberg Helmholtz Ins. In Mainz Russia: IINR Dubna **BINP** Novosibirsk Italy: Univ. of Torino Frascati Lab Ferrara Univ. Netherland: KVI/Univ. of Groningen Sweden: Uppsala Univ. Turkey: Turkey Accelerator Center

China(31)

IHEP, CCAST, GUCAS, Shandong Univ., Univ. of Sci. and Tech. of China Zheijang Univ., Huangshan Coll. Huazhong Normal Univ., Wuhan Univ. Zhengzhou Univ., Henan Normal Univ. Peking Univ., Tsinghua Univ. , Zhongshan Univ., Nankai Univ. Shanxi Univ., Sichuan Univ., Univ. of South China Hunan Univ., Liaoning Univ. Nanjing Univ., Nanjing Normal Univ. Guangxi Normal Univ., Guangxi Univ. Suzhou Univ... Hangzhou Normal Univ. Lanzhou Univ., Henan Sci. and Tech. Univ. Hong Kong Univ., Hong Kong Chinese Univ.





2 Partial Wave Analysis of $J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma \omega \phi$

Motivation



J/ψ radiative decay

An ideal laboratory to search for glueball

 $J/\psi \to \gamma VV, (V = \omega, \phi, \rho)$

Signatures of gluonic bound states

> Pseudoscalar enhancements in $\omega\omega$, $\phi\phi$ and $\rho\rho$ observed

$J/\psi \to \gamma \omega \phi$

- Doubly OZI suppressed decay
 - ▶ Production rate should be suppressed relative to $J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma \omega \omega$ or $J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma \phi \phi$ by at least one order of magnitude.

▶ An anomalous enhancement, X(1810) observed by BESII

First observation of X(1810) at BESII



2006: BESII observed an anomalous enhancement near the $\omega\phi$ threshold. $_{\rm Phys.\ Rev.\ Lett.\ 96(2006)162002}$



X(1810)'s mass different from e.g. $f_0(1710), f_0(1790).$

Possible interpretations:

- a tetraquark, a hybrid, a glueball,
- a dynamical effect arising from intermediate meson rescattering,
- a manifestation of the $f_0(1710)$ below threshold,
- a cusp of an attracting resonance.

Neither of these interpretations were confirmed nor ruled out by experiment.

A similar enhancement at BESIII





X(1810):

- ▶ $J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma \omega \phi$ revisited
- 4 times more data
- Similar enhancement observed
- Invariant mass distribution very different from phase space
- Threshold structure visible in the Dalitz plot

Background study







- A: ω sideband region
- $\blacktriangleright B: \phi \text{ sideband region}$
- C: corner region



- Solid line: background sideband
- Dashed line: inclusive J/ψ decays.

No enhancement near $\omega\phi$ threshold from background.

Partial Wave Analysis(PWA)



Here, decay processes:

 $J/\psi \to \gamma X$, $X \to \omega \phi$, $\omega \to \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$, $\phi \to K^+K^-$

- $X: J^{PC}$ unknown, maybe $0^{++}, 1^{++}, 2^{++}, 1^{-+}....$
- Theoretically, each possible partial wave amplitude:

$$A^{i} = A_{prod} B W^{X}_{\omega\phi} A_{decay}, \tag{1}$$

i: different
$$J^{PC}$$

 A_{prod} : how does X come
 A_{decay} : how does X decay
 $BW^X_{\omega\phi} = 1/(M^2 - s - iM\Gamma)$, M: X's mass, Γ : X's width

- Aⁱ is unobservable
- But, total differential cross section is observable

$$\frac{d\sigma}{d\Phi} = |\sum A(J^{PC})|^2, \tag{2}$$

We can extract magnitudes and phases, M and Γ by an unbinned Maximum likelihood fit of $\frac{d\sigma}{d\Phi}.$

Maximum likelihood



Likelihood function:

♦ Probability *P* of the *i*th event:

$$P(\xi_i) = \frac{\omega(\xi_i)\epsilon(\xi_i)}{\int d\xi \omega(\xi)\epsilon(\xi)}$$
(3)

- ξ_i : four-momentum of γ , K^+ , K^- , π^+ , π^- and π^0 $\omega(\xi_i) \equiv (\frac{d\sigma}{d\Phi})_i$: probability density $\epsilon(\xi_i)$: detection efficiency For N quarter the likelihood in:
- $\diamond~$ For N events, the likelihood is:

$$\mathcal{L} = \prod_{i=1}^{N} P(\xi_i) = \prod_{i=1}^{N} \frac{\omega(\xi_i)\epsilon(\xi_i)}{\int d\xi \omega(\xi)\epsilon(\xi)}.$$
(4)

- ▶ Log-likelihood: Minimize $S = -\ln \mathcal{L}$
- Minimization package FUMILI
- Background from sidebands have opposite sign correspond to data of log likelihood

The best solution of the PWA fit



Resonance	J^{PC}	$M({\sf MeV}/c^2)$	$\Gamma({\sf MeV}/c^2)$	Significance
X(1810)	0++	1795 ± 7	95 ± 10	$> 30\sigma$
$f_2(1950)$	2++	1944	472	20.4σ
$f_0(2020)$	0++	1992	442	13.9σ
$\eta(2225)$	0-+	2226	185	6.4σ
non-resonant	0-+	_	_	9.1σ

|--|

- ▶ Five components in the best PWA fit.
- The spin parity of the X(1810) is 0^{++} .
- The statistical significance of the X(1810) is more than 30σ .
- ► The masses and widths for the f₂(1950), f₀(2020) and η(2225) are fixed to their PDG values.

Comparisons between data and PWA fit







• Components in the best fit

- ♦ Different J^{PC} of the X(1810), the nonresonant component.
- \diamond Different 0⁺⁺, 2⁺⁺ and 0⁻⁺ components.
- ♦ Different combinations of additional states.
- ▶ Resonance parametrization: Flatté formula describe the structure *X*(1810). Test two cases:

$$\diamond~$$
 With $g_{\omega\phi}=1$, $g_{KK}=0$

$$\diamond$$
 with $g_{\omega\phi}=$ 0.5, $g_{KK}=$ 0.5

- First systematic error: Difference between the best and worst solution
- Second systematic error: Difference between resonance resonance parametrization



The X(1810):

- ♦ $M = 1795 \pm 7 (\text{stat})^{+13}_{-5} (\text{syst}) \pm 19 (\text{mod}) \text{ MeV}/c^2$
- $\land \Gamma = 95 \pm 10 (\text{stat})^{+21}_{-34} (\text{syst}) \pm 75 (\text{mod}) \text{ MeV}/c^2$
- $◊ \ \mathcal{B}(J/\psi \to \gamma X(1810)) \times \mathcal{B}(X(1810) \to \omega \phi) = (2.00 \pm 0.08(\mathsf{stat})^{+0.45}_{-1.00}(\mathsf{syst}) \pm 1.30(\mathsf{mod})) \times 10^{-4}$
- ★ Our results are consistent within errors with those from the BESII experiment.
- ★ The large measured branching fractions (~1/2 of $\mathcal{B}(J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma \phi \phi)$ is surprising and interesting.

Comparison of BESIII observation





- ► Based on 225M J/ψ event sample.
- Are they the same particle?
- ▶ It is crucial to identify them.

X(18??) at BESIII

- X(1860) in $J/\psi \to \gamma p\bar{p}$ (PRL 108, 112003 (2012))
- X(1835) in $J/\psi \to \gamma \pi^+ \pi^- \eta'$ (PRL 106, 072002 (2011))
- X(1870) in $J/\psi \to \omega \eta \pi \pi$ (PRL 107, 182001 (2011))
- X(1840) in $J/\psi \to \gamma 3(\pi^+\pi^-)$ (PRD 88, 091502 (2013))
- > X(1810) in $J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma \omega \phi$ (PRD 87, 032008 (2013))

Thank you very much for your attention!