

WELCOME TO THE PHYSICS PANEL INTERVIEWS

MAXIM ZABZINE



GENERAL CONTEXT FOR THIS EVALUATION

Quality and Renewal 2024 (KoF24) (Kvalitet och förnyelse) University wide evaluation based on external panels

- KoF 17
- KoF 11
- KoF 07

ÖB process (Översynen av Basanslag) 2024-2025 Faculty wide process based on internal prioritization



Today (Oct 1) + Tomorrow (Oct 2) 14 research programs

30 minitues per program = 5 min presentation (reminder, introduction) + 25 min actual interview main reader may lead the interview

15 min reflection on the interview

PANEL SCHEDULE PHYSICS

On Tuesday morning, registration takes place between 07.30 – 08.00 in the hotel lobby where you will receive a name tag and information on which bus to take to campus. Your host will then meet you on campus, or a guide will accompany you to the room where the interviews take place. On the first day someone will also follow you to the bus back to the hotel.

TUESDAY		
Time	Activity	Location
07.30 - 08.00	Registration	
08.00 - 08.30	Travel to Campus, Ångström Laboratory	
08.30 - 09.00	Introduction by the host	Berulingrumme
09.00 - 09.30	Observational Astrophysics	Berulingrumme
09.30 - 09.45	Panel reflection	
09.45 - 10.00	Coffee	
10.00 - 10.30	Theoretical Astrophysics	Berulingrumme
10.30 - 10.45	Panel reflection	
10.45 - 11.15	Space and Plasma Physics	Berulingrumme
11.15 - 11.30	Panel reflection	
11.30 - 12.30	Lunch	
12.30 - 13.00	Instrumentation and Accelerators	Berulingrumme
13.00 - 13.15	Panel reflection	
13.15 - 13.45	Materials Theory	Berulingrumme
13.45 - 14.00	Panel reflection	
14.00 - 14.15	Coffee	
14.15 - 14.45	Quantum Matter Theory	Berulingrumme
14.45 - 15.00	Panel reflection	
15.00 - 15.30	Theoretical Physics	Berulingrumme
15.30 - 15.45	Panel reflection	
15.55 -	Bus back to the hotel	
18.30 - 19.00	Mingle at Uppsala Art Museum, Drottning Christinas väg 1E	
19.00 - 21.00	Welcome dinner at Uppsala Castle	



Tomorrow (Oct 2)

15:30-16:15 Interview with young researchers, to discuss the career paths 15 people = 9 PhD students + 6 postdocs



After tomorrow (Oct 3)

8:30-10:45 (with the coffee break) the interview with the department's leadership (head of department, deputies, heads of units)

10:45-11:15 panel reflection

11:15-12:15 the interview with the head of department (Richard Brenner)

You will see Richard more (during lunches and tonight for the dinner)



Meeting with the department's leadership is organized as follows:

- 10 mins presentation by the head of department
- Funding
- Priorities
- Infrastructure
- Hiring and career paths
- · Education and research



Friday, 8:30-13:00

the meeting of panel chairs with the faculty leadership and deans

this is a chance for Petra to express your overall views on physics section



PANEL REPORT STRUCTURE

	Process	Quality	Program	Department
Research quality	√	√	√	✓
Career paths	✓			√
Collaboration and outreach	✓			√
Connection between research and teaching	✓			

Priorities (program vs department)

You have the templates both for the programs and the department

final deadline is Oct 31 (Oct 17, you can send it to me to check for the factual mistakes)



Our informal wishes for the evaluation

why do we need your report:

- to do changes/improvements by ourselves within the department
- to show to the faculty/university the external opinion about us
- to ask for more resources from the Faculty

Please remember that this is not only about money! Sometimes we need outside voice to make changes



Our informal wishes for the evaluation

- let's try to be forward looking, positive and constructive, avoid any lengthy history explanations
- we want to have a clear cut evaluation of research quality when it comes to research programs
- if you have any strong opinion about any issue, please write this down in the report



Terminology

Faculty of Science and Technology (TekNat) \longrightarrow sections

Department of Physics and Astronomy $\,\longrightarrow\,$ divisions (admin and managerial)

Research programs (collegial)

PAP = program responsible professor FFF = Faculty funding UL = university lecturer = associate professor BUL = tenure track position = assistant professor permanent researchers



Conflict of interest statement

I am the program responsible professor for theoretical physics



Discussion

how would we like to organize the interviews from the panel side?

??? main reader(s) are leading the program's interviews

danger of derailment of the interview to irrelevant issues (say about the role of program professors)