



#### Looking for Sterile Neutrinos via Neutral-Current Disappearance with NOvA





Adam Aurisano University of Cincinnati

The 19<sup>th</sup> International Workshop on Neutrinos from Accelerators 26 September 2017

## NuMI Off-Axis $v_{e}$ Appearance Experiment

NOvA is a long-baseline neutrino oscillation experiment located 14 mrad offaxis from the NuMI beam designed to measure:



#### $v_{e}$ appearance

- Mass hierarchy  $\theta_{23}$  octant
- CP violation
- - Improved precision on  $|\Delta m^2_{_{32}}|$  and  $\theta_{_{23}}$

#### NC disappearance

- Search for sterile neutrinos
- Constrain  $\theta_{_{34}}$  and  $\theta_{_{24}}$

#### Others

- Short-baselineSupernovaeExotics
- Cross sections

## NuMI Off-Axis $v_{e}$ Appearance Experiment

NOvA is a long-baseline neutrino oscillation experiment located 14 mrad offaxis from the NuMI beam designed to measure:



### NOvA Detector Design



#### Far detector (FD)

- 14 kton
- 65% active mass
- ~344,000 channels

#### Near detector (ND)

- 0.3 kton
- Functionally equivalent to FD for systematic uncertainty reduction
  - Faster electronics
  - Muon catcher to contain muons
- ~20,000 channels



 1
 0
 0
 0
 0

 1
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0

 1
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0

 1
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0

 1
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0

 1
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0

 1
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0

 1
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0

 1
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0



Wavelength shifting fibers carry light out of the cells to APDs.

3.9 cm

6.0 cm

#### Accumulated Dataset

2016 analysis: 6 Feb 2014 – 1 May 2016  $\rightarrow$  6.05x10<sup>20</sup> POT



2017 analysis: 6 Feb 2014 – 20 Feb 2017  $\rightarrow$  8.85x10<sup>20</sup> POT ~50% increase in exposure

26 September 2017

#### NuFact 2017 - Adam Aurisano

#### Sterile Neutrinos

- Short-baseline experiments (LSND, MiniBooNE) observed anomalous excesses of  $v_e(\overline{v}_e)$  appearance in  $v_\mu(\overline{v}_\mu)$  beams
- Observed rate from calibration sources used at gallium radiochemical solar neutrino experiments produced results consistent with  $v_e$  or  $\overline{v}_e$  disappearance over short baselines
- The anomalies could all be explained by oscillations driven by a mass splitting  $\Delta m^2 \sim 1 \ eV^2$ 
  - Not consistent with three known flavors
- Measurement of Z decays at LEP only allows for three light active neutrinos
  - Any extra light neutrino must be sterile



#### Sterile Neutrinos

- Short-baseline experiments (LSND, MiniBooNE) observed anomalous excesses of  $v_e(\overline{v}_e)$  appearance in  $v_\mu(\overline{v}_\mu)$  beams
- Observed rate from calibration sources used at gallium radiochemical solar neutrino experiments produced results consistent with  $v_e$  or  $\overline{v_e}$  disappearance over short baselines
- The anomalies could all be explained by oscillations driven by a mass splitting  $\Delta m^2 \sim 1 \ eV^2$ 
  - Not consistent with three known flavors
- Measurement of Z decays at LEP only allows for three light active neutrinos
  - Any extra light neutrino must be sterile



#### 3+1 Model

$$U = \begin{pmatrix} U_{e1} & U_{e2} & U_{e3} & U_{e4} \\ U_{\mu 1} & U_{\mu 2} & U_{\mu 3} & U_{\mu 4} \\ U_{\tau 1} & U_{\tau 2} & U_{\tau 3} & U_{\tau 4} \\ U_{s1} & U_{s2} & U_{s3} & U_{s4} \end{pmatrix}$$

- Simplest model adds one sterile neutrino
- Expand PMNS matrix from  $3x3 \rightarrow 4x4$
- 6 new parameters
  - One mass scale ( $\Delta m_{41}^2$ )
  - Three mixing angles  $(\theta_{14}, \theta_{24}, \theta_{34})$
  - Two CP-violating phases  $(\delta_{14}, \delta_{24})$



- Neutral current interaction rate is the same for 3 active neutrinos
  - NC rate is insensitive to 3 flavor oscillations
- Sterile neutrino do not interact in the detector
  - $\nu_{\mu} \rightarrow \nu_{s}$  reduce the NC rate at the FD
- One oscillation term for  $\nu_{\mu} \rightarrow \nu_{s}$  oscillations at atmospheric frequency
- Narrow-band beam was optimized to produce events with energies very close to atmospheric maximum

 $1 - P(\nu_{\mu} \to \nu_{s}) \approx$   $1 - 4 |U_{\mu3}|^{2} |U_{s3}|^{2} \sin^{2} \Delta_{31}$   $- 4 |U_{\mu4}|^{2} |U_{s4}|^{2} \sin^{2} \Delta_{41}$   $- 8 \operatorname{Re}(Z) \sin \Delta_{31} \cos \Delta_{43} \sin \Delta_{41}$   $- 8 \operatorname{Im}(Z) \sin \Delta_{31} \sin \Delta_{43} \sin \Delta_{41}$   $Z = U_{\mu4}^{*} U_{s4} U_{\mu3} U_{s3}^{*}$ 

- Neutral current interaction rate is the same for 3 active neutrinos
  - NC rate is insensitive to 3 flavor oscillations
- Sterile neutrino do not interact in the detector
  - $\nu_{\mu} \longrightarrow \nu_{\rm s}$  reduce the NC rate at the FD
- One oscillation term for  $\nu_{\mu} \rightarrow \nu_{s}$  oscillations at atmospheric frequency
- Narrow-band beam was optimized to produce events with energies very close to atmospheric maximum
- Search in 0.05  $eV^2 < \Delta m_{41}^2 < 0.5 eV^2$ 
  - No significant ND oscillations
  - Rapid oscillations at FD  $\rightarrow$  no  $\Delta m_{41}^2$ dependence



- Neutral current interaction rate is the same for 3 active neutrinos
  - NC rate is insensitive to 3 flavor oscillations
- Sterile neutrino do not interact in the detector
  - $\nu_{\mu} \longrightarrow \nu_{\rm s}$  reduce the NC rate at the FD
- One oscillation term for  $\nu_{\mu} \rightarrow \nu_{s}$  oscillations at atmospheric frequency
- Narrow-band beam was optimized to produce events with energies very close to atmospheric maximum
- Depth of oscillations at the oscillation maximum is primarily controlled by  $\theta_{\scriptscriptstyle 34}$
- $\theta_{24}$  scales shape of descent into the oscillation maximum



- Neutral current interaction rate is the same for 3 active neutrinos
  - NC rate is insensitive to 3 flavor oscillations
- Sterile neutrino do not interact in the detector
  - $\nu_{\mu} \rightarrow \nu_{s}$  reduce the NC rate at the FD
- One oscillation term for  $\nu_{\mu} \rightarrow \nu_{s}$  oscillations at atmospheric frequency
- Narrow-band beam was optimized to produce events with energies very close to atmospheric maximum
- $\theta_{23}$  also controls the depth of the oscillation maximum
  - Constrain to the NOvA degenerate minima
- The CP-violating phase,  $\delta_{24}$ , can reduce NC disappearance even as  $\theta_{24}$  and  $\theta_{34}$  become large.



26 September 2017

#### **Event Topologies**



## Convolutional Visual Network

- Convolutional Visual Network (CVN) is a selection algorithm based on Deep-Learning techniques
- Uses all information in minimally reconstructed events
- Is a multi-purpose classifier
  - Capable of selecting  $\nu_{e}, \nu_{\mu}, \nu_{\tau}$ , and NC

Treat each event as an image with cells as pixels and charge as color value



Individual learned filters are sensitive to physics: e.g. hadronic activity or muon tracks



Convolutional layers learn filters to optimally extract features from the data

JINST 11 (2016) P09001

#### NC/CC Separation

- The CVN NC classifier is excellent at separating NC events from beam background
- Good data/MC agreement in the ND



#### **Rejecting Cosmic Events**



### Rejecting Cosmic Events

- The FD sees ~150,000 cosmic rays/second
- Cosmogenic neutrons can be difficult to separate from NC events
- In 2016 analysis, removed all events in the top 5 m of the FD
- Replacing this cut with a BDT designed to separate cosmogenic neutrons and NC events using reconstructed shower variables
- Reduces the cosmic rate by 50% compared to the 2016 analysis



### NC Selection: Efficiency and Purity

![](_page_17_Figure_1.jpeg)

26 September 2017

#### Extrapolation

- The FD prediction is generated using the extrapolation process
  - Partially cancels systematics uncertainties that are correlated between the ND and FD
- Since each component oscillates differently, decompose observed ND spectrum according to simulated proportions
- Use a migration matrix to convert reconstructed energy to true energy
- The data and MC ND components are used to correct the corresponding FD component
- Apply oscillations and unfold back to reconstructed energy

![](_page_18_Figure_7.jpeg)

$$F_{jk\beta}^{\text{pred}} = \sum_{\alpha} \frac{N_{jk\alpha}^{\text{data}}}{N_{jk\alpha}^{\text{sim}}} F_{jk\beta}^{\text{sim}} P(\nu_{\alpha}, \nu_{\beta}).$$

- In scintillation-based experiments, Cerenkov light is often neglected
- Scintillation yields are very large compared to Cerenkov light yields
- Most Cerenkov light is produced at short wavelengths that cannot be absorbed by the NOvA
- However, short wavelength light can be absorbed by the pseudocumene, PPO, and bis-MSB in scintillator
- Absorbed and re-emitted Cerenkov light is a small but important signal that is particularly important for the modeling of the detector response to hadronic activity

![](_page_19_Figure_6.jpeg)

- The detector energy response is calibrated using the energy deposited by stopping cosmic muons at their minimum ionization point
- Failing to account for Cerenkov light biases the calibration of slow particles by ~ 5%
- In previous analyses, the resulting hadronic data/MC disagreement was minimized by tuning scintillator quenching, requiring significant systematic uncertainties

![](_page_20_Figure_4.jpeg)

- Modeling the absorption and re-emission of Cerenkov light produced significant improvements in data/MC agreement, especially for:
  - Number of hits
  - Energy/hit
- Allows for a reduction in systematic uncertainties

![](_page_21_Figure_5.jpeg)

#### **Systematics**

![](_page_22_Figure_1.jpeg)

- ND Composition systematic is determined by extrapolating the ND data/MC disagreement differently
- Previously the largest systematic (7% for signal, 10% for background)
- Due to improved modeling of the hadronic system, this uncertainty is halved

## **FD** Prediction

- Predictions depend on the choice of three flavor parameters
- NOvA's combined  $\nu_{\rm e}$  and  $\nu_{\mu}$  analysis found two degenerate best fits
  - Lower octant
    - $\sin^2\theta_{23} = 0.404 \pm 0.030$
    - $\delta_{13} = 1.48\pi$
    - $\Delta m_{32}^2 = (2.67 \pm 0.11) \times 10^{-3} \text{ eV}^2$
  - Upper octant
    - $\sin^2 \theta_{23} = 0.623 \pm 0.030$
    - $\delta_{13} = 0.74\pi$
    - $\Delta m_{32}^2 = (2.67 \pm 0.11) \times 10^{-3} \text{ eV}^2$
- In the 2016 analysis, cosmics were the dominant background
- After analysis improvements,  $\nu_{\mu}$  CC is the dominant background

![](_page_23_Figure_13.jpeg)

### **FD** Prediction

- Predictions depend on the choice of three flavor parameters
- NOvA's combined  $\nu_{\rm e}$  and  $\nu_{\mu}$  analysis found two degenerate best fits
  - Lower octant
    - $\sin^2\theta_{23} = 0.404 \pm 0.030$
    - $\delta_{13} = 1.48\pi$
    - $\Delta m_{32}^2 = (2.67 \pm 0.11) \times 10^{-3} \text{ eV}^2$
  - Upper octant
    - $\sin^2 \theta_{23} = 0.623 \pm 0.030$
    - $\delta_{13} = 0.74\pi$
    - $\Delta m_{32}^2 = (2.67 \pm 0.11) \times 10^{-3} \text{ eV}^2$
- In the 2016 analysis, cosmics were the dominant background
- After analysis improvements, CC is the dominant background

![](_page_24_Figure_13.jpeg)

	NC Signal	CC Bkg	Cosmics
Upper oct.	148.3	36.3	7.9
Lower oct.	148.3	36.0	7.9
Max. Mixing	148.3	34.9	7.9

#### NC Disappearance Data

![](_page_25_Figure_1.jpeg)

FD Data

![](_page_26_Figure_1.jpeg)

Observed: 214

No depletion of neutral current events observed

![](_page_26_Figure_4.jpeg)

#### **Event Distributions**

![](_page_27_Figure_1.jpeg)

#### **Event Distributions**

![](_page_28_Figure_1.jpeg)

#### **Comparison to Three-Flavor Predictions**

$$R_{
m NC} \equiv rac{F^{
m data} - \sum F^{
m pred}(
m bkg)}{F^{
m pred}(
m NC)}$$

- R-ratio is a model independent measure of NC disappearance
  - No NC disappearance  $\rightarrow R = 1$
- Calculate in two energy regions
  - 0 2.5 GeV
    - 119 events observed
  - 2.5 10 GeV
    - 95 events observed

	0-2.5 GeV	2.5-10 GeV
Upper octant	$1.18 \pm 0.14 \pm 0.12$	$1.07 \pm 0.14 \pm 0.12$
Lower octant	1.18 ± 0.14 ± 0.12	$1.08 \pm 0.14 \pm 0.12$
Max. mixing	1.19 ± 0.14 ± 0.12	$1.08 \pm 0.14 \pm 0.12$

2016 analysis: R =  $1.19 \pm 0.16 \pm 0.10$ 

#### Shape Fit: 2D 90% C.L. Limits

![](_page_30_Figure_1.jpeg)

- Fit separately for each three-flavor degenerate solution and take the least constraining result
- Solar and reactor data constrains  $\sin^2\theta_{14}$ < 0.041
  - Assume  $\theta_{14} = 0$  and  $\delta_{14} = 0$
- Profile over  $\theta_{_{23}}$ ,  $\Delta m_{_{32}}^2$ ,  $\delta_{_{24}}$
- Limit  $\Delta m_{41}^2$ :
  - $0.05 \text{ eV}^2 < \Delta m_{41}^2 < 0.5 \text{ eV}^2$
  - No significant ND oscillations
  - Rapid oscillations in FD
- Perform a shape-based fit for  $\theta_{24}$  and  $\theta_{34}$

![](_page_30_Figure_11.jpeg)

#### Shape Fit: 2D 90% C.L. Limits

![](_page_31_Figure_1.jpeg)

		$\theta_{24}$	$\theta_{34}$	$ U_{\mu 4} ^2$	$ U_{\tau 4} ^2$
	NOvA 2016	$20.8^{\circ}$	$31.2^{\circ}$	0.126	0.268
	NOvA 2017	$16.2^{\circ}$	$29.8^{\circ}$	0.078	0.228
_	MINOS	$7.3^{\circ}$	$26.6^{\circ}$	0.016	0.20
	$\operatorname{SuperK}$	$11.7^{\circ}$	$25.1^{\circ}$	0.041	0.18
	IceCube	$4.1^{\circ}$	-	0.005	-
7	IceCube-DeepCore	$19.4^{\circ}$	$22.8^{\circ}$	0.11	0.15

Fit in the lower octant is the least constraining

NOvA 2017 analysis improves over the NOvA 2016 limit  $\rightarrow \theta_{24}$  by 4.6°  $\rightarrow \theta_{34}$  by 1.4°

## Future of NOvA Sterile Results: Short-Baseline Searches

- NOvA short-baseline  $\nu_{\rm e}$  appearance  $\nu_{\mu}$  disapperance joint fit
  - Correlated uncertainties between the  $\nu_{\rm e}$  and  $\nu_{\mu}$  samples partially cancel
  - Probes LSND and MiniBooNE allowed regions with one year of NOvA data

![](_page_32_Figure_4.jpeg)

![](_page_32_Figure_5.jpeg)

- NOvA short-baseline  $v_{\tau}$  appearance
  - Search for  $\nu_\tau$  produced by oscillations in the high energy tail
  - NOvA will be competitive with previous experiments after 3 years of running

#### Summary

- Performed a search for a depletion in the NC rate at the NOvA FD with 8.85x10<sup>20</sup> POT
  - Significant analysis improvements over the 2016 analysis
    - 50% increase in statistics
    - Improved cosmic rejection lead to a 50% reduction in the cosmic rate
      - Allowed for an increase in usable FD mass, increasing the NC selection efficiency
    - Significant modeling improvements halved the systematic error due ND data/MC disagreements and allowed for a shape-based fit
- Results are consistent with no sterile oscillations
- For 0.05  $eV^2 < \Delta m_{41}^2 < 0.5 eV^{2}$ :
  - $\theta_{24}$  < 16.2° and  $\theta_{34}$  < 29.8°
  - Competitive with other experiments with 1/3 of planned exposure
- Short-baseline sterile neutrinos searches are in progress
- Analysis improvements to allow for fitting a wider range of  $\Delta m_{41}^2$  are in progress
- Stay tuned!

#### Thank You!

![](_page_34_Picture_1.jpeg)

26 September 2017

#### Backup Slides

- In previous analyses, the scintillator non-linearity was tuned to minimize the data/MC disagreement energy lost by protons
- Recently, Cerenkov light was uncovered as an extra source of scintillator non-linearity
  - Short-wavelength Cerenkov light can be absorbed and re-emitted by the scintillator at detectable wavelengths
- Modeling this process produced significant improvements in data/MC agreement, especially for:
  - Energy/hit
  - Number of hits

![](_page_36_Figure_7.jpeg)

#### 2D Limits

![](_page_37_Figure_1.jpeg)

### NOvA NC Disappearance

- Events classified with CVN
- Near detector spectrum agrees well within large NC cross-section uncertainties
- Extrapolate ND data to FD assuming no ND oscillations
  - Restrict analysis to small  $\Delta m_{41}^2$  values

#### Far detector predictions

Total	NC	$\nu_{\mu} CC$	Beam $v_{_{e}}$	Cosmics
83.7 ±8.3	60.6	4.8	3.6	14.3

![](_page_38_Figure_7.jpeg)

Calorimetric Energy (GeV)

#### 26 September 2017

#### NOvA NC Disappearance

#### Observe 95 events

Compare to three-flavor:

$$R = \frac{N_{data} - BG}{S_{NC}}$$
$$= 1.19 \pm 0.16(stat.) \pm 0.11(syst.)$$

# No evidence for sterile neutrino mixing

Fitting NC rate with the 3+1 model: For 0.05 eV<sup>2</sup> <  $\Delta m_{41}^2$  < 0.5 eV<sup>2</sup>  $\theta_{34}$  < 35°,  $\theta_{24}$  < 21°

![](_page_39_Figure_6.jpeg)

#### **NOvA Preliminary**

#### Convolutional Neural Networks

- Deep learning is a new paradigm that has caused a renaissance in the machine learning community.
  - Made possible by better activation functions, better weight initialization, and the advent of cheap GPUs.
- One variant the convolutional neural network has been highly successful at image recognition tasks.
- Two basic type of layers:
  - Convolutional layers apply discrete convolutions using learned kernels to extract features from the image.
  - Pooling layers downsample the image and increase translational invariance in the final output.
- Stacked structure of convolutional and pooling layers extract increasingly abstract features from the input raw data encoding both local and global structure.
- Relatively new:
  - LeNet one of the first (1998)
  - AlexNet the one that started the revolution (2012)

![](_page_40_Figure_11.jpeg)

![](_page_40_Figure_12.jpeg)

2x2 MaxPool Stride 2

#### Understanding the Network: Feature Embedding with t-SNE

![](_page_41_Figure_1.jpeg)