# Higgs mediated CLFV processes $\mu N(eN) \rightarrow \tau X$ via gluon operators

Masato Yamanaka (Maskawa Institute)

M. Takeuchi, Y. Uesaka, M.Y., Phys. Lett. B772 (2017)

Analysis on CLFV scattering  $\mu N(eN) \rightarrow \tau X$  including

(1) new subprocess  $\ell g \to \tau g \ (\ell \ni e, \mu)$ 

(2) quark number conserving subprocess  $\ell g \rightarrow \tau q \bar{q}$ 

## Search for tau CLFV through $eN(\mu N)$ scattering

☑ Today's topic : tau CLFV via Higgs

Results hold for any CLFV mediators mainly interacting with heavy fermions

e.g., heavy Higgs, flavon, leptoquark, ...

 $\blacksquare$  A promising way to search for tau CLFV :

 $\ell + N \rightarrow \tau + X$  (*N*:Nucleus)

- Many exp. launch, e.g., ν-factory, ILC, LHeC, etc.
- Can reach high sensitivity beyond the Belle-II sensitivity limit for tau CLFV



#### Search for tau CLFV through $eN(\mu N)$ scattering

Precisely relate the LFV parameter and the event rate of  $\ell N \rightarrow \tau X$ 

 $\blacksquare$  A promising way to search for tau CLFV :

 $\ell + N \rightarrow \tau + X$  (*N*:Nucleus)

- Many exp. launch, e.g., ν-factory, ILC, LHeC, etc.
- Can reach high sensitivity beyond the Belle-II sensitivity limit for tau CLFV



Prior to the analysis on  $\ell N \rightarrow \tau X$ , answer easy quiz questions!

 $\ensuremath{\boxtimes}$  Which is dominant sub-process?



☑ Which is dominant sub-process?



 $\ensuremath{\boxtimes}$  Which is correct sub-process?



☑ Which is correct sub-process?



Correct quark final state sub-process with quark-number conservation

Not  $\ell q \to \tau q!$ 

☑ Threshold and cross section are corrected e.g.,  $E_{e(\mu)}^{\text{beam}} > 19 \text{ GeV}$  for  $\ell b \to \tau b$  $E_{e(\mu)}^{\text{beam}} > 55 \text{ GeV}$  for  $\ell g \to \tau b \overline{b}$   $e,\mu$   $\tau$  h q g r000000  $\overline{q}$ 

### Aim of this work and outline

Reformulation of CLFV lepton-nucleus scattering taking into account

- (1) new sub-process  $\ell g \rightarrow \tau g$
- (2) quark-number conservation sub-process  $\ell g \rightarrow \tau q \bar{q}$



Formulation of effective coupling and cross section

- Outline 📃 Numerical analysis
  - Summary

# Formulation of effective coupling and cross section

- Lagrangian
- $\square$  hgg effective coupling
- Cross section

Lagrangian for  $\ell g \rightarrow \tau g$  and  $\ell g \rightarrow \tau q \bar{q}$ 

Important : momentum transfer dependence of *hgg* effective coupling

$$\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}_{\rm SM} + \mathcal{L}_{\rm CLFV},$$
  
$$\mathcal{L}_{\rm SM} = -\sum_{q} y_q h \bar{q} q + g_{hgg} h G^a_{\mu\nu} G^{a\mu\nu},$$
  
$$\mathcal{L}_{\rm CLFV} = -\rho_{ij} \bar{\ell}_j P_L \ell_i h - \rho_{ji} \bar{\ell}_j P_R \ell_i h$$

 $\rho_{ij}, \rho_{ji}$ : LFV parameter (*i*, *j* : flavor index)

Current bound  $\sqrt{|\rho_{\ell\tau}|^2 + |\rho_{\tau\ell}|^2} = 2.4 \times 10^{-3}$ Note: stronger than the Belle-II sensitivity for tau CLFV search



## Higgs-gluon-gluon coupling

 $\square$  hgg effective coupling

$$g_{hgg} = \sum_{q=c,b,t} \frac{\alpha_s}{8\pi v} \frac{4m_q^2}{q_h^2} \left[ 1 + \left( 1 - \frac{4m_q^2}{q_h^2} \right) f\left(\frac{4m_q^2}{q_h^2} \right) \right]$$

$$f(r) = -\frac{1}{4}\log^2\left[-\frac{1+\sqrt{1-r}}{1-\sqrt{1-r}}\right] \qquad (r < 0)$$

☑ In addition to *t*-quark, *c*-quark and *b*-quark also sizably contribute



## Cross s

H. Georgi, H. Pol

ross section  
Georgi, H. Politzer, PRL36 (1976)  

$$e_{,\mu} \stackrel{p_i}{=} f_{,\mu} \stackrel{p_f}{=} \tau$$
  
 $e_{,\mu} \stackrel{p_i}{=} f_{,\mu} \stackrel{p_f}{=} \tau$   
 $g \stackrel{q}{=} g \stackrel{q}{=} f_{,\mu} \stackrel{p_f}{=} f_{,\mu} \stackrel{p$ 

#### Numerical analysis

- ☑ For Higgs CLFV@fixed target experiments
- ☑ For general CLFV mediator@fixed target experiments
- ☑ For Higgs CLFV@beam collision experiments

CLFV effective coupling is taken to be current bound:  $\sqrt{|\rho_{\ell\tau}|^2+|\rho_{\tau\ell}|^2}=2.4\times 10^{-3}$ 

#### Cross section vs beam energy for fixed target exp.





■ Large enhancement by the new sub-process  $\ell g \rightarrow \tau g$ , which is dominant for  $E_{\ell}^{\text{Lab}} \leq 1 \text{ TeV}$ 

☑  $\tau b \overline{b}$  channel starts to be relevant at  $E_{\ell}^{\text{Lab}} \simeq 500 \text{ GeV}$  (estimated in previous works as  $E_{\ell}^{\text{Lab}} \simeq 50 \text{ GeV}$ )

#### Cross section vs beam energy for fixed target exp.



#### Cross section vs beam energy for fixed target exp.



Event rate/year ( $T_m$ : target mass)  $N \simeq 6 \times 10^{-16} N_{\ell_i} \left(\frac{\sigma_{\ell_i N \to \tau X}}{1 \text{ fb}}\right) \left(\frac{T_m}{1 \text{ g cm}^{-2}}\right)$ 

For a mediator interacting with gluon  $O(10^5)$  event@ILC ( $N_e \simeq 10^{22}$ /year)  $O(10^4)$  event@ $\nu$  factory ( $N_\mu \simeq 10^{20}$ /year)

#### Cross section vs collision energy for collider exp.



### Summary

- ☑ Study tau LFV as it is relatively less constrained and sizable effects could be expected
- Focusing on the tau LFV by mediators mainly interacting with heavy fermions
- Reformulation of  $\ell N \rightarrow \tau X$  taking into account important ingredients
  - (1) gluon contribution  $\ell g \rightarrow \tau g$

(2) q-number conservation  $\ell g \rightarrow \tau q \bar{q}$ 

☑ Future experiments (COMPASS, ILC, LHeC,  $\nu$  factory, etc) could shed light on the tau LFV



 $lq \rightarrow \tau q$ 

## Thank you very much!

## Backup slides

#### Cross sections for each PDF

