Towards Model-Independent Tests of WIMP Dark Matter

Riccardo Catena

Subatomic and Plasma Physics, Department of Physics, Chalmers University

November 17, 2016





◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ ◆□ ◆ ◇◇◇

Outline

Introduction

- Standard WIMP searches
- Direct detection
- Indirect detection (at neutrino telescopes)

Main focus

Non-relativistic effective theory of WIMP-nucleon interactions

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

- Predictions:
 - a) Overview of selected results
 - b) DAMA vs null results
 - c) WIMP capture and annihilation in the Earth

Weakly Interacting Massive Particles (WIMPs)

Most studied candidate for dark matter, and a testable scenario!

Expected mass: $m_{\rm WIMP} \sim 1~{\rm GeV} - 100~{\rm TeV}$ WIMP interactions:



WIMP detection strategies



◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆□▶ □ ● のへで

WIMP detection strategies



◆□ > ◆□ > ◆ Ξ > ◆ Ξ > Ξ のへで

WIMP detection strategies



◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆ □▶ ★ □▶ = 三 の < ⊙

Dark matter direct detection

Motivation and strategy:



Physical observable: rate of dark matter-nucleus scattering events in terrestrial detectors:



 Modulation: the Earth's orbit inclination induces an annual modulation in the rate of recoil events

$$\mathcal{A}(E_{-}, E_{+}) = \frac{1}{E_{+} - E_{-}} \frac{1}{2} \left[\mathcal{R}(E_{-}, E_{+}) \Big|_{\text{June 1st}} - \mathcal{R}(E_{-}, E_{+}) \Big|_{\text{Dec 1st}} \right]$$

Kinematics:

- a) For $m_\chi \sim 100$ GeV, one expects a flux of $\sim 7 imes 10^4$ cm $^{-2}$ s $^{-1}$
- b) Expected recoil energy, $E_R = (2\mu_T^2 v^2/m_T) \cos^2\theta \sim \mathcal{O}(10) \text{ keV}$

- Assume a mass model for the Milky Way: halo, stellar disk, bulge
- Calculate the observables: rotation curves, surface density, velocity dispersion of stars, weak lensing optical depth, etc ...
- Compare predictions with astronomical observations: the Bayesian approach has proven to be a powerful tool for this
- Extract preferred regions in parameter space, e.g. credible regions
- Translate them into an estimate for the local dark matter density, e.g. posterior PDF

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

Local dark matter density: Bayesian analysis

Catena & Ullio 2010



◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 のへぐ

- Simplifying assumption: spherically symmetric galactic gravitational potential
- Use Eddington's inversion formula to relate the local dark matter velocity distribution to the parameters of the assumed mass model
- From the posterior PDF of the model parameters, obtain the posterior PDF of local dark matter velocity distribution at sampled velocities

Local dark matter velocity distribution: Bayesian analysis

Bozorgnia, Catena and Schwetz 2014



▲□▶ ▲圖▶ ★ 国▶ ★ 国▶ - 国 - のへで

 Standard paradigm: spin-independent and spin-dependent dark matter-nucleon interactions

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}\sigma_{T}}{\mathrm{d}E_{\mathrm{nr}}} = \frac{m_{T}}{2\pi v^{2}} \frac{1}{(2j_{\chi}+1)(2J+1)} \sum_{\mathrm{spins}} \left| \langle F| \sum_{i=1}^{A} e^{-i\mathbf{q}\cdot\mathbf{r}_{i}} \left(\mathcal{H}_{\mathrm{SI}} + \mathcal{H}_{\mathrm{SD}}\right) |I\rangle \right|^{2}$$
one-body DM-nucleon interaction
nucleus \otimes DM state

Spin-independent interaction $\mathcal{H}_{\rm SI}$

• Scalar/Scalar coupling:
$$\mathcal{L}_{SS} = \frac{1}{\Lambda^3} \sum_q C_q^{SS} \bar{\chi} \chi m_q \bar{q} q$$

S-matrix element:

$$\langle f|iS|i\rangle = -i\bar{u}_{\chi}(p')u_{\chi}(p) \int d^4x \, e^{i\,qx} \langle N'| \sum_q c_q \bar{q}(x)q(x)|N\rangle$$

$$\simeq -i(2\pi)^4 \delta^4(q-k'+k) \, \xi_{\chi}^{\prime\dagger} \xi_{\chi} \, \xi_N^{\prime\dagger}(b_0+b_1\tau_3)\xi_N$$

Underlying non-relativistic Hamiltonian

$$\mathcal{H}_{\mathrm{SI}} = \sum_{\tau=0,1} b_{\tau} \mathbb{1}_{\chi} \mathbb{1}_{N} t^{\tau} \equiv \sum_{\tau=0,1} c_{1}^{\tau} \mathbb{1}_{\chi N} t^{\tau}$$

◆□ ▶ < 圖 ▶ < 圖 ▶ < 圖 ▶ < 圖 • 의 Q @</p>

Spin-dependent interaction $\mathcal{H}_{\rm SD}$

• Axial-Vector/Axial-Vector:
$$\mathcal{L}_{AA} = \frac{1}{\Lambda^2} \sum_q C_q^{AA} \bar{\chi} \gamma^{\mu} \gamma_5 \chi \bar{q} \gamma_{\mu} \gamma_5 q$$

S-matrix element:

$$\begin{aligned} \langle f|iS|i\rangle &= -i\bar{u}_{\chi}(p')\gamma_{\mu}\gamma_{5}u_{\chi}(p)\int d^{4}x \, e^{i\,qx} \langle N'|\sum_{q}c_{q}\bar{q}(x)\gamma^{\mu}\gamma_{5}q(x)|N\rangle \\ &\simeq -i(2\pi)^{4}\delta^{4}(q-k'+k)\,\xi_{\chi}^{\prime\dagger}\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{\chi}\xi_{\chi}\,\cdot\,\xi_{N}^{\prime\dagger}(a_{0}+a_{1}\tau_{3})\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{N}\xi_{N} \end{aligned}$$

Underlying non-relativistic Hamiltonian

$$\mathcal{H}_{\rm SD} = \sum_{\tau=0,1} a_{\tau} \boldsymbol{\sigma}_{\chi} \cdot \boldsymbol{\sigma}_{N} t^{\tau} \equiv \sum_{\tau=0,1} c_{4}^{\tau} \hat{\mathbf{S}}_{\chi} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{S}}_{N} t^{\tau}$$

◆□ ▶ < 圖 ▶ < 圖 ▶ < 圖 ▶ < 圖 • 의 Q @</p>

Conflicting experimental results

Billard et al. 2014



◆□ > ◆□ > ◆豆 > ◆豆 > ̄豆 = のへで

Indirect Detection

WIMP searches at neutrino telescopes



They search for neutrinos produced by the annihilation of dark matter particles bound to the Sun/Earth

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへで

Indirect Detection

 Physical observable: flux of neutrinos produced by dark matter annihilation in the Sun/Earth



• More specifically, Γ_a depends on

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}\mathcal{C}}{\mathrm{d}V} = \int_0^\infty \mathrm{d}u \; \frac{\rho_{\mathrm{dm}} \langle f(u) \rangle}{u} \sum_T n_T w^2 \,\Theta \int \mathrm{d}E_{\mathrm{nr}} \; \frac{\mathrm{d}\sigma_T}{\mathrm{d}E_{\mathrm{nr}}}$$

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ □ のQ@

WIMP capture in the Sun

Catena and Schwabe 2015



WIMP capture in the Earth

Catena 2016



IceCube search for WIMP annihilations in the Earth

IceCube collaboration 2016



▲山下▲□□ト▲三下▲三下 三 のへの

- Is it complete? No
- Is it favoured by observations? No

 Conflicting data and the increase in sensitivity due to already operating ton-scale and km³ detectors motivate the exploration of more general approaches

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 のへぐ

Fitzpatrick et al. 2013

- Separation of scales: $|\mathbf{q}|/m_V \ll 1$, where m_V is the mediator mass
- Basic symmetries: Galilean and translational invariance
- Four "degrees of freedom":
 - \blacktriangleright Consider the scattering $\chi({\bf p}) + N({\bf k}) \rightarrow \chi({\bf p}') + N({\bf k}')$
 - Momentum conservation $\rightarrow \mathcal{M}(\mathbf{p},\mathbf{k},\mathbf{q})$
 - Galilean invariance $\rightarrow \mathcal{M}(\mathbf{v} = \mathbf{p}/m_{\chi} \mathbf{k}/m_N, \mathbf{q})$
 - In general, $\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{M}(\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{q}, \mathbf{S}_{\chi}, \mathbf{S}_N)$
 - We therefore identify four basic operators

$$i \mathbf{\hat{q}} \qquad \mathbf{\hat{v}}^{\perp} = \mathbf{\hat{v}} + rac{\mathbf{\hat{q}}}{2\mu_N} \qquad \mathbf{\hat{S}}_{\chi} \qquad \mathbf{\hat{S}}_N$$

The most general Hamiltonian density is therefore a power series in q̂. Each term is Galilean invariant and constructed from the four basic operators:

$$\hat{\mathcal{H}}(\mathbf{r}) = \sum_{ au=0,1}\sum_k c_k^ au \hat{\mathcal{O}}_k(\mathbf{r}) \, t^ au$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 のへぐ

a)
$$t^0 = 1$$
, $t^1 = \tau_3$
b) $c_k^p = (c_k^0 + c_k^1)/2$ and $c_k^n = (c_k^0 - c_k^1)/2$

Dark matter-nucleon interaction operators

$$\begin{array}{ll} \hat{\mathcal{O}}_{1} = \mathbf{1}_{\chi N} & \hat{\mathcal{O}}_{9} = i \hat{\mathbf{S}}_{\chi} \cdot \left(\hat{\mathbf{S}}_{N} \times \frac{\hat{\mathbf{q}}}{m_{N}} \right) \\ \hat{\mathcal{O}}_{3} = i \hat{\mathbf{S}}_{N} \cdot \left(\frac{\hat{\mathbf{q}}}{m_{N}} \times \hat{\mathbf{v}}^{\perp} \right) & \hat{\mathcal{O}}_{10} = i \hat{\mathbf{S}}_{N} \cdot \frac{\hat{\mathbf{q}}}{m_{N}} \\ \hat{\mathcal{O}}_{4} = \hat{\mathbf{S}}_{\chi} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{S}}_{N} & \hat{\mathcal{O}}_{11} = i \hat{\mathbf{S}}_{\chi} \cdot \frac{\hat{\mathbf{q}}}{m_{N}} \\ \hat{\mathcal{O}}_{5} = i \hat{\mathbf{S}}_{\chi} \cdot \left(\frac{\hat{\mathbf{q}}}{m_{N}} \times \hat{\mathbf{v}}^{\perp} \right) & \hat{\mathcal{O}}_{12} = \hat{\mathbf{S}}_{\chi} \cdot \left(\hat{\mathbf{S}}_{N} \times \hat{\mathbf{v}}^{\perp} \right) \\ \hat{\mathcal{O}}_{6} = \left(\hat{\mathbf{S}}_{\chi} \cdot \frac{\hat{\mathbf{q}}}{m_{N}} \right) \left(\hat{\mathbf{S}}_{N} \cdot \frac{\hat{\mathbf{q}}}{m_{N}} \right) & \hat{\mathcal{O}}_{13} = i \left(\hat{\mathbf{S}}_{\chi} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{v}}^{\perp} \right) \\ \hat{\mathcal{O}}_{7} = \hat{\mathbf{S}}_{N} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{v}}^{\perp} & \hat{\mathcal{O}}_{14} = i \left(\hat{\mathbf{S}}_{\chi} \cdot \frac{\hat{\mathbf{q}}}{m_{N}} \right) \left(\hat{\mathbf{S}}_{N} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{v}}^{\perp} \right) \\ \hat{\mathcal{O}}_{8} = \hat{\mathbf{S}}_{\chi} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{v}}^{\perp} & \hat{\mathcal{O}}_{15} = - \left(\hat{\mathbf{S}}_{\chi} \cdot \frac{\hat{\mathbf{q}}}{m_{N}} \right) \left[\left(\hat{\mathbf{S}}_{N} \times \hat{\mathbf{v}}^{\perp} \right) \cdot \frac{\hat{\mathbf{q}}}{m_{N}} \right] \end{array}$$

<□ > < @ > < E > < E > E のQ @

Dark matter-nucleus scattering cross-section:

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}\sigma_T}{\mathrm{d}E_{\mathrm{nr}}} \propto \sum_{\mathrm{spins}} \left| \langle F | \sum_{i=1}^A \int \mathrm{d}\mathbf{r} \, e^{-i\mathbf{q}\cdot\mathbf{r}} \hat{\mathcal{H}}_i(\mathbf{r}) | I \rangle \right|^2$$

- In the ET framework, it depends on 28 coupling constants and 8 nuclear response functions
- Nuclear response functions for 16 elements in the Sun: R. Catena & B. Schwabe 2015

Direct detection

- Current experiments place limits on commonly neglected WIMP-nucleon interaction operators that are comparable with those on the strength of the SD interaction
- Destructive operator interference effects can weaken standard direct detection exclusion limits by up to one order of magnitude in the coupling constants
- The interpretation of direct detection experiments can be significantly biased if WIMPs interact via neglected interactions
- New ring-like features are expected in the angular distribution of nuclear recoil events

Indirect detection

WIMP capture and annihilation in the Sun and Earth need to be revisited

► Is there a linear combination of Ô_k such that DAMA can be reconciled with null searches?

In the ET framework, this question can be reformulated in terms of intersection of ellipsoids

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 のへぐ

DAMA confronts null searches II

Catena, Ibarra and Wild 2016



DAMA confronts null searches III

Catena, Ibarra and S. Wild 2016



▲□▶ ▲圖▶ ★ 国▶ ★ 国▶ - 国 - のへで

WIMP capture in the Earth revisited

Catena 2016



200

ł

WIMP annihilation in the Earth revisited

Catena 2016



◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 のへぐ

Connection to Simplified Models for dark matter

Dent et al. 2015

WIMP spin	Mediator spin	$\mathcal L$ terms	leading NR operator	Eqv. M_m
0	0	h_1, g_1	\mathcal{O}_1	13 TeV
0	0	h_2, g_1	\mathcal{O}_{10}	14 GeV
0	1	h_4, g_4	\mathcal{O}_{10}	8 GeV
0	$1/2^{\dagger}$	y_1	\mathcal{O}_1	3.2 PeV
0	$1/2^{\dagger}$	y_2	\mathcal{O}_1	3.2 PeV
0	$1/2^{\dagger}$	y_1, y_2	\mathcal{O}_{10}	41 GeV
1/2	0	h_1, λ_1	\mathcal{O}_1	12.7 TeV
1/2	0	h_2, λ_1	\mathcal{O}_{10}	293 GeV
1/2	0	h_1, λ_2	\mathcal{O}_{11}	14 GeV
1/2	0	h_2, λ_2	\mathcal{O}_6	1.9 GeV
1/2	1	h_3, λ_3	\mathcal{O}_1	6.3 TeV
1/2	1	h_4, λ_3	${\cal O}_9$	6.4 GeV
1/2	1	h_3, λ_4	\mathcal{O}_8	180 GeV
1/2	1	h_4, λ_4	\mathcal{O}_4	135 GeV
1/2	0^{\dagger}	l_1	\mathcal{O}_1	7.1 TeV
1/2	0†	l_2	\mathcal{O}_1	5.5 TeV
1/2	1^{\dagger}	d_1	\mathcal{O}_1	5.9 TeV
1/2	1^{\dagger}	d_2	\mathcal{O}_1	6.7 TeV

Non standard operators are the leading interaction in 6 additional cases if dark matter has spin 1

Summary

- WIMP-nucleon interactions have been systematically classified in terms of Galilean invariant operators
- It is the first step towards model-independent direct (and indirect) tests of WIMP dark matter
- In the talk I have reviewed the phenomenology of this general theoretical framework

Selected results:

a) Direct detection exclusion limits need to be reconsidered in the ET framework

b) The same is true for the capture and annihilation of WIMPs in the Sun and Earth

c) DAMA remains incompatible with the null searches