

Detection and Analysis of Uncontrolled Beam Loss in the High Luminosity LHC

Björn Lindström CERN and Uppsala University With input from L. Bortot, A. Fernandez Navarro, M. Valette, V. Raginel, D. Wollmann



28th November 2017

Scope of project

- Study of uncontrolled beam loss scenarios
 - How failure occurs
 - Effect on the beam
 - Subsequent beam losses (intensity, location)
 - Time scales
- Detection of failures
 - How to limit time between detection of failure and beam dump
- Mitigation of or protection against failures
- Simulations (mainly beam tracking and optics calculations)
- Experiments (using very fast diamond beam loss monitors)
- Also important to protect beam from unnecessary dumps!



Beam induced damage

- SPS beam shot at copper cylinders
- 1.5e11 protons per bunch @ 440 GeV



Beam induced damage

 144 bunches of 1.5e11 protons per bunch, 440 GeV





LHC Injection Failure

- Before LHC commissioning, SPS beam hit aperture in LHC injection transfer line
- 3.4e13 protons @ 450 GeV
- Required changing chamber and a quadrupole





JAS14, Beam Transfer and Machine Protection, V. Kain

Magnet damage

- Decomposition of insulation
 - => short circuit



- Decomposition of superconducting strands
 - => degradation of superconducting properties
- Need replace magnet
 - => min. downtime ~3 months





LHC overview



- Most of ring periodic lattice of FODO cells
- Around interaction points, matching section
 - Triplet quadrupoles (Q1,Q2,Q3) – very large beta functions
 - Separation and recombination dipoles (D1 and D2)



High Luminosity LHC (HL-LHC) changes

- To attain higher luminosity, higher bunch intensity and smaller β^* (bunch size in collision point)
- Many changes in layout (e.g. Triplet quadrupoles, crab cavities ...)

	LHC	HL-LHC
# bunches	2808	2808
Bunch intensity [protons per bunch]	1.15e11	2.2e11
β* [cm]	55	15
Stored Beam energy (@ 7 TeV) [MJ]	362	693



Failure Classifications

- Slow failures (> 1 s)
 - Cryogenics, failure of orbit/tune feedback...
 - Manual intervention possible
- Fast failures (> 15 ms)
 - Trip of RF system, superconducting circuit/magnet power problem
 - Protection by multiple systems
- Very fast failures(> 3 LHC turns, 270 µs)
 - UFOs (macroparticles entering beam), resistive magnet power problem, transverse damper failure, crab cavity failure
 - Protection by fastest systems
- Ultrafast failures(< 3 turns)
 - Injection/extraction failure, loss of beam-beam kick, quench heaters
 - Protection dump not possible (rely on passive protection)



Accelerator Physics – some basic concepts

- Beta function describes the oscillation of off-center particles, a function of the quadrupoles
 - Beta function is also related to the beam size
- Transverse bunch particle distribution can be modelled as sum of two 2d-Gaussians (beam core + beam halo)
- 1 σ [m] ~ 1 standard deviation/width of distribution
 - $\sigma(s) = \sqrt{\beta(s)\epsilon}$
 - *s* : longitudinal distance
 - ϵ : emittance, average transverse spread in phase space
 - Off-center particles remain at constant radius in phase space
 - Normalized to beam σ convenient unit
 - Phase must be taken into account for very fast failures



Collimation system overview

- Smallest aperture bottleneck
- Designed to clean off-center particles
- First elements to intercept the beam for most failures
- Consists of three sets
 - Primary collimators (TCP, ~5.5 σ aperture, ~2 mm)
 - Carbon
 - Diffuses protons
 - Secondary
 - Carbon
 - Shower creation
 - Tertiary
 - Tungsten
 - Absorbs showers
- Orbit excursion of $\sim 3 \sigma$ at 7 TeV enough to damage



Damage limits

- Collimator system
 - 288 nominal bunches (one SPS beam) at 450 GeV
 - 8 nominal bunches at 7 TeV
 - Half this for HL-LHC
- Magnets
 - Quench limit: ~1e9 protons @ 450 GeV, ~1e7 protons @ 7 TeV
 - Damage limit: 1e12 protons @ 450 GeV, 1.e11 protons @ 7 TeV



Magnet protection

- When a quench occurs
 - Usually localized
 - Must be spread quickly (~100 ms) to diffuse the resistive current loss throughout the magnet
- Quench heaters: resistive plates attached to magnet (current system)
- A new system for heating whole magnet at once in development – explained further down
- Both types induce magnetic field in beam area
 - Affects the beam!



Quench heaters in Dipoles

- From simulations: the QH cause a 0.7 mT field in the beam area
- Associated orbit change: +/-400 µm, confirmed experimentally
- A delay of up to 3 ms (35 turns) between QH firing and dump
- - Imperative to dump first





12000

s (m)

13000

14000

15000 14

600

400

200

-200

-400

10000

11000

CLIQ – Coupling Loss Induced Quench

- CLIQ a new type of quench protection system
- Capacitor discharges current in magnet circuit
- 2 kA of current going into the magnet coils imperative to study its criticality
- Poles P3 and P1 see lower current
- Poles P4 and P2 see higher current
- Heat is deposited in the copper matrix via inter-filament and inter-strand coupling losses, causing a quench
 Q2 current – CLIQ discharge



CLIQ in Triplet (Q2 and Q3)

- Differences in connection, different magnetic fields.
- Q1 electrically same as Q3
- From optics, Q3 has larger beta function, and is thus more critical
- Q2: Symmetric discharge -> Quadrupolar field -> beta beating
- Q1/Q3: Asymmetric discharge -> Skew dipolar field -> orbit excursion



Q3 – orbit excursion

Beam lost shortly after LHC turn 100



Q2 – Beta Beating

- Beta beating of up to 100 % at the TCPs
- Beam size changes -> losses at TCPs



Crab Cavities

- In HiLumi LHC, due to smaller β* and to limit beam-beam effects the crossing angle will be increased
- → Lower luminosity:



Piwinski Reduction (Geometric) Factor

	2012 LHC	2015 LHC	HL-LHC
$\theta_c[\mu rad]$	313	290	590
$F(\theta_c)$	0.88	0.85	0.31



Crab Cavities

 Cavity with sinusoidal transverse kick - bunch is tilted - better overlap at crossing point



Crab Cavities – failure modes

- Voltage drop (~4 LHC turns)
 - Residual crabbing outside Interaction Point large
- Phase change (must be driven by the RF control)
 - Kicks beam core out of orbit
- Quench (combination of the above)



Consequences of CC failure



Combined failures – beam beam effect

- The two beams interact with each other electromagnetically in crossing points
 - Transverse kick (orbit change, main issue)
 - Emittance growth
 - Tune spread
 - • •
- Dumping one beam gives sudden loss of beambeam kick
- After a given failure, beams will always be dumped
 - -> this type of combined failure must thus always be considered



Current work...

- Experiment on Thursday
 - Studying UFO dynamics using diamond beam loss monitors
- Two IPAC papers (preliminary titles)
 - Results of UFO dynamics studies with beam in the LHC
 - Crab cavity failures combined with loss of beambeam effect in the HL-LHC
- Article on "Fast failures in the LHC and HL-LHC"
 - First draft by end of this year...





Thank you!



Introduction

- 16L2 refers to loss events in an interconnection in LHC sector 16L2
- Three types:
 - Steady state losses (resolved)
 - UFO-like losses causing beam instability (fast loss rise, beam dump, quench)
 - UFO-like losses not causing instabilities (do not dump)



Courtesy of A.Lechner

Introduction

- 61 dumps since May until 25th Oct (7 more until 28th Nov)
 - 294 dumps due to faults, 64 due to beam losses (incl 16L2)



Courtesy of A.Lechner

CERN

Observables and Goals

Hypothesis:

- Solid macro-particle enters beam -> gives UFO-like losses
- Macro-particle evaporates -> charged particle cloud -> beam instability -> losses in TCPs
- Local dBLM: direct losses from 16L2 interaction (relatively low signal)
- IR7 dBLM: losses due to build-up of instabilities
- From local data, study the UFO dynamics
 - Bunch blow-up in H or V
 - Displacement of bunches in H,V or diagonally
 - -> Tells us which direction the UFO is coming from (see MD on UFO dynamics with wirescanner)
- From IR7 data, study how the instability develops
- Fastest losses observed in the LHC



16L2 Machine Development test (MD)

- Unique opportunity with UFOs on demand
 - High bunch intensity, many bunches and high energy seems to trigger them
- Foreseen to conduct MD before end of this run
 - Various combinations of blown up and displaced bunches
 - -> study UFO dynamics as shown by previous MD2036 using wirescanner
 - -> understand 16L2 in case it reoccurs
- Challenges for dBLM part:
 - Optimize signal
 - Use many bunches with set properties for better statistics
 - How many bunches required will be an outcome of current analysis
 - From understanding the histogram
 - How to combine signals from the different bunches to draw conclusions
 - Increasing statistics without destroying relevant data



16L2 Machine Development test (MD)

- Unique opportunity with UFOs on demand
 - High bunch intensity, many bunches and high energy seems to trigger them
- Foreseen to conduct MD before end of this run
 - Various combinations of blown up and displaced bunches
 - -> study UFO dynamics as shown by previous MD2036 using wirescanner
 - -> understand 16L2 in case it reoccurs
- Challenges for dBLM part:
 - Optimize signal
 - Use many bunches with set properties for better statistics
 - How many bunches required will be an outcome of current analysis
 - From understanding the histogram
 - How to combine signals from the different bunches to draw conclusions
 - Increasing statistics without destroying relevant data

